

DRAFT

CHAIR: ELEANORE HUNTER
RECORDER: CATHIE BLOSSER

DATE: 9-23-09
LOCATION: ROSE VILLA

VOTING MEMBERS: CATHIE BLOSSER, VALERIE CHAPMAN, JANE CILILETTI, SUE CONACHAN, GEORGE DIETZ, TERRY DOLAN, LYNN FISHER, GERLD FOY, THELMA HAGGENMILLER, ELEANORE HUNTER, CHIPS JANGER, JIM MARTIN, GWION MARK MILLER, ESTHER PROUTY, ED RIDDLE, PAUL SAVAS, SUSAN SHAWN, BALDWIN VAN DER BIJL, WILLIAM WILD

GUESTS: DAVID RUELAS, DAVID GREGG, EVERETT WILD, ANNETTE GUARRIELLO, BARB CARTMILL, MICHAEL READ, TOM FOELLER

KEY: CTY = COUNTY; F/U = FOLLOW-UP; RE – REGARDING; OLCC = OAK LODGE COMMUNITY COUNCIL; OLSD = OAK LODGE

Sanitary District; REP = REPRESENTATIVE; BCC = BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; REC = RECOMMENDATION

ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION PLAN	DUE DATE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
Treasurer's Report (S. Conachan)	573.52 + 29.00 (from OLCC mtg) = \$602.52 + \$5 (savings) = \$607.52 total			
Minutes, approval of August 26, 2009 (E. Hunter)	None	Accepted unanimously		
Service District Report (Michael Read, Oak Lodge Sanitary District)	OLSD Treatment Plant Upgrade Project, General Obligation Bonds (GOB): will be on Nov. 3, 2009 ballot. M. Read explained history and district covered. Cost of upgrade approximately \$51.3 million; bond measure \$44 million. Board has approved Revenue Bonds. GOB have lower interest rates and no coverage or reserve requirements; will be paid by monthly sewer service rates; require vote of District residents. Over 20 year life of bond, \$22 million dollars will be collected =increase of about \$7.70 per month per ratepayer. Expenses expected to be less. Project already underway; ground breaking in 2010. OLSD will have a good bond rating. With GOB, resident's property taxes will be			

	<p>pledged to cover OLSD should it go into default. C. Janger: How will the case be made to community to vote in favor of this bond measure? M. Read: speakers and CD available S. Shawn: if OLSD defaults? M. Read: the debt would be passed on to property taxes V. Chapman: therefore, if a NO vote, Revenue Bonds would be issued which would cost \$1900 over 20 years more than GOBs. B. Cartmill: rec. sending letter in support M. Read: "Pro" letters too late to go into voter's pamphlet. There are three letters of support and none against in voter pamphlet. T. Haggemiller explained how they got a citizen, neighbors, and Friend's of Trolley Trail letters into the voter's pamphlet. Will need people to help with this campaign (give right to use name endorsing; help to distribute flyers; building yard signs, money; logo with piggy bank and money going into it). V. Chapman: send letter of endorsement as OLCC to OLSD? S. Shawn: how would a letter help? M. Read: would be in file T. Haggemiller: would be used in ads promoting campaign J. Martin: rec. letter be sent to newspaper V. Chapman: in future, it would be great to get it in the voters pamphlet</p>	<p>T. Haggemiller: Motion to send letter of support: 2nd: S. Shawn Vote: 16 - 0 - 1 (W. Wild abstained); P. Savas was out of the room for the vote Motion passed</p>		<p>T. Haggemille: will write letter</p>
<p>Update on Old Business</p>	<p>1. E. Hunter: Trial Communication Agreement. Is printed on back of agenda; we will be trying this out.</p>			
	<p>2. W. Wild: Proposed Committee Structure: procedures recommended. Highlights: important to have consistency in how committees formed (see Creating a New Committee on handout). Requirements: Purpose; description of results; time line for existence of committee; contact person; how/when committee will</p>			

report back to OLCC; membership; one member of OLCC should be on committee; work consistent with OLCC bylaws. Board would review all of this and make sure all the requirements for forming a committee have been met. Committee will then present itself and project to OLCC membership.

J. Civiletti: if an urgent need arises, process may be too lengthy as described above.

P. Savas: if a group forms to do something urgent, they could be given a charge by the OLCC membership without forming a formal committee,

A. Guarriello : could be an ad hoc group for urgent issue

E. Wild: have two sets of guidelines—one for standing committees and one to cover “urgent” issues that needs a committee.

G. Foy: and doesn’t preclude a special meeting of OLCC

S. Shawn: or if in an emergency, could post survey to gather feedback via website after using listserv to tell people to go to website.

E. Hunter: could get vote via listserv.

G. Foy: may be legal ramifications if vote done that way

J. Civiletti: need to know who is on the Committee, numbers anticipated for committee

E. Riddle: envisioned a committee being able to have members outside the OLCC---i.e. from the community. Or a committee totally outside the OLCC, could come before OLCC and get support

G. Foy: example of above: Oak Grove Festival idea; or if you want to bring in somebody with more expertise

V. Chapman: seems like members should live in the OLCC area. Recommends getting back to people that propose a committee

E. Hunter: one member of OLCC would be on these committees

A. Guarriello: cart before the horse here: Recommend Board members propose an activity (e.g. membership) and then they take the lead on a committee that fits

<p>S. Shawn: any way to bring the “wheels” of CIA into OLCC as “committees?”</p> <p>P. Savas: wheel aspect is larger than OLCC; wheel committees could report back to OLCC what they are doing, etc.</p>	<p>W. Wild: Committee-Committee will meet again and discuss this issue</p>	<p>Revisit at Oct mtg.</p>	<p>W. Wild</p>
<p>3. Jim Martin: Proposed OLCC Representative Agreement: Proposes Resolution: <i>Representatives of the Community Council to other bodies shall be selected by vote of the Community Council. Representatives shall consult with, and fairly represent the views of the Council to those bodies. Representatives shall report to the CPO regularly, as determined by the Council or Council Board.</i></p> <p>E. Hunter: this would be for members representing OLCC to committees outside the OLCC</p> <p>G. Foy: I’m on committees where I am not representing OLCC, how does this apply to me?</p> <p>J. Martin: it doesn’t; only pertains if you volunteer to be the representative from OLCC</p> <p>P. Savas: supports intent of resolution.</p> <p>E. Hunter: representatives from OLCC going outside</p> <p>V. Chapman: E.g. Citizens Advisory Committee: Henry Schmidt is rep. of OLCC; I am rep. a “citizen.”</p> <p>P. Savas: this is challenging; I’ve been put in position to rep. OLSD, for example. But, sometimes I make a personal opinion and say so.</p> <p>G. Foy: difference as a rep. of oneself vs. OLCC—could have a conflict of opinion</p> <p>J. Martin: get feeling of OLCC: rep. should be a voting member to rep. OLCC or not necessary to be a voting member? Informal vote taken to give Jim direction of revising resolution above</p> <p>E. Riddle: an expert person may not be an OLCC voting member that we might want to represent us on a committee (e.g. Jim Labbe from Audubon)</p> <p>P. Savas: but, that person should know what the OLCC is thinking, meet with OLCC and get sentiment to take</p>	<p>J. Martin will take suggestions back and rework Resolution</p>	<p>Oct. OLCC mtg.</p>	<p>J. Martin</p>

	back			
	<p>4. E. Riddle: Z0466-09: Partition Application follow-up letter: Regarding the Mallard Lane partition; he read a draft letter about the tree cutting from OLCC to the BCC---about preserving trees, about loophole that resulted in these trees being cut down. Asks for approval to send letter</p> <p>P.Savas: are these statements accurate?</p> <p>E. Riddle: yes</p> <p>J. Civiletti: add “good” in front of “policies”</p> <p>T. Dolan: good is a value statement. How about “effective.”</p>	<p>S. Shawn: Moves to endorse letter</p> <p>W. Wild 2nd</p> <p>Vote: 17 - 0 - 1 (P. Savas abstained)</p> <p>Motion passed</p> <p>E. Riddle will send letter with correction</p>		
	<p>5. C. Blosser: Non-voting OLCC Members: Per bylaws, once a voting member, always a voting member even if person no longer attending for extended period of time [e.g. 12 months]</p> <p>T. Haggemiller: gave history of bylaws and examples of why some members don’t attend (health, work hour changes). Would these folks need to go back through the attendance requirements to become voting members again?</p> <p>G. Foy: Key word is “past member”. I have no problem with this.</p> <p>L. Fisher: so bylaws can stand</p> <p>E.; Hunter: so, we don’t need to track attendance once one is a member?</p> <p>E. Wild: if away, should need one meeting period to regain voting ability.</p>	<p>E. Hunter: bylaws stand</p>		
Member Reports	<p>1. L. Fisher: Urban/Rural Reserves. Finished with rec. of 8,000 urban; 57,000 rural acres covered; 1100 undesignated. This has already been changed. Urban acres would be looked at first if more land needed to be added to the UGB.</p> <p>G. Foy: how many acres buildable?</p> <p>L. Fisher: 40-50% or 4,000-5,000 acres</p> <p>P. Savas: issue of density: more density within UGB</p>			

<p>impacts us more. Ought to be a process within UGB to gather incorporated areas together where density should go.</p> <p>T. Haggemiller: BCC increased acreage within urban and rural areas? From undesignated or from where?</p> <p>L. Fisher: probably took some from Stafford, "Clackanoma?" Probably some in designated natural features area, but I haven't looked at it .</p>			
<p>2. P. Savas: Joining Forces (JoFo)and C-4 (Clackamas County Coordinating Committee: C4 intent was originally to supply infrastructure for growth; now more a forum for legislative issues, Metro input, other issues; is growing beyond what it originally was set up to do. Tomorrow and Friday, C-4 retreat, trying to get two seats for CPOs (urban and rural seats).</p> <p>S. Shawn: "Uniting Unincorporated Clackamas County" is byline of Joining Foces. Interested people to join JoFo welcome. See Susan Shawn.</p> <p>G. Foy: Cities have historically resisted having rep. from special districts (now represented).</p> <p>P. Savas: way to connect to BCC; dilutes power of any one representative to C-4.</p> <p>S/ Shawn: compelling argument is number of residents within CPOs is huge, and they aren't represented</p> <p>E. Hunter: thanked Paul Savas for his work with C-4</p>			
<p>3. C. Janger: Nature in the Neighborhoods (NIN) grant potential: apply for grant to beautify, make it more sustainable, etc. the Park Ave Station for MAX. Lots of input given during "charrette;" Second "charrette" tomorrow night to further discussion. No guarantee we will get this grant; TriMet ultimately determines who gets the grant.</p> <p>P.Savas: absent a grant, lots of comments given about what we want or don't want. Will we be stuck with the monolith?</p> <p>C. Janger: thinks we've influenced project to some extent, and it won't be a stark monolithic structure. We</p>	<p>Charrette 9/24/09 at 6:30 PM.</p>		

	<p>can raise money for the landscaping; reverse stream to aid in runoff issues that possibly can be done.</p> <p>G. Foy: who will write grant?</p> <p>C. Janger: METRO interested in helping us, too, because we've been showing up at meetings and voicing our opinions. TriMet has hired architect/engineer to further our ideas.</p> <p>A. Guarriello: based on educational grant and art. Focus on sustainability for grant.</p> <p>C. Janger: Grant requires: re-nature; re-green; show multiple people/systems impacted; design; fish/wildlife considered.</p> <p>V. Chapman: acknowledges/thanks work of Chips to lead the charrette.</p>			
	<p>4. C. Blosser: Tree Ordinance. Draft of Tree Task Force subject of a study session by BCC last Tuesday. Presented by Planning Dept. staff and Chair of Task Force. Comments by BCC: "comprehensive;" was development and jobs considered?; "significant fines needed;" provisions to protect 'significant trees?' NO money or staff' Timeline to take it up again not set; looks like it will be taken up again by BCC in a study session of 60 minutes.</p>			
<p>Public Comment Period/Meeting Updates/General Announcements</p>	<p>1. Nature Step framework handout available, if we want to adopt it or learn about it.</p> <p>2. Youth Involvement Committee: E. Hunter and E. Wild working together. New Urban HS input and Putnam HS giving input. Stay turned for further information.</p> <p>E. Riddle: as part of getting participation by New Urban HS students, he is planning to teach a course at HS to form performance groups and have them do performances at the school and Open Mike. Two others will teach other aspects of performing arts.</p>			
	<p>Meeting Adjourned at 9:05 PM</p>	<p>Next meeting October 28, 2009</p>		

